CAUSE NO. 750,313

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 263RD JUDICIAL

v.

DISTRICT COURT OF

REINALDO DENNES

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

APPELLANT'S FIRST AMENDED DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, REINALDO DENNES, Appellant in the above-styled and numbered cause, by and through his attorney on appeal, LEORA KAHN, and files this his first amended designation of record on appeal requests that the clerk of this Honorable Court make and prepare as part of the record in the appeal of this case true and correct copies of the following matters:

- All pleadings filed by the Defendant and by the State of Texas, and all rulings of the Court thereon.
- All docket entries made by the Court.
- The testimony of all witnesses during all pre-trial hearings, the hearing on guilt/innocence and the hearing on punishment.
- 4. All hearings held outside the presence of the jury.
- 5. All communications between the Trial Court and the jury.
- 6. All communications between the Trial Court and the Defendant, Counsel for the Defendant, and Counsel for the State.
- The Court's charge submitted by the Court to the jury during the hearings on guilt/innocence and punishment.
- All objections, whether oral or in writing, made by the Defendant to the Court's Charge submitted to the jury during the hearings on guilt/innocence and punishment, and all rulings thereon.
- All requested instructions, whether oral or written, made by the Defendant to the Court's Charge submitted to the jury during 'the hearings on guilt/innocence and

punishment, and all rulings of the Court made thereon.

- All jury arguments of Counsel for the State and Counsel for the Defendant during the hearings on guilt/innocence and punishment.
- The respective verdicts of the jury during the hearings on guilt/innocence and punishment.
- 12. All notes sent by the jury to the Court, the Court's response thereto and all objections and requested instructions made by the Defendant to each such jury note and response of the Court thereof.
- The judgment and sentence of the Court.
- The Defendant's Motion for New Trial and any amendments thereto.
- 15. A transcription of all testimony and evidence introduced at the hearing on the Defendant's Motion for New Trial and the Order of the Court with respect thereto.
- 16. The Defendant's Notice of Appeal.
- All Bills of Exception, rulings of the Court hereon and a transcription of all proceedings held pursuant to said Bills of Exception.
- The original of the exhibits introduced into evidence before the jury.
- The original of all exhibits introduced into evidence before the Court.
- A true and correct copy of the jury list, reflecting the respective strikes of the State and the Defendant.
- 21. All written motions, pleas and orders of the Court.
- 22. The Appeal Bond.
- 23. A transcription of the entire Statement of Facts, including the testimony of all witnesses who testified before the Court and/or jury, and the opening statements, if any, made by the Court for the State and counsel for the Defendant.
- A transcription of the voir dire examination of the jury panel.
- 25. The Designation of Record on Appeal.

- The request by the Defendant of a Statement of Facts in this cause.
- 27. The First Amended Designation of Record on Appeal.
- 28. The Juror Questionnaires of the twelve jurors and the two alternate jurors who served in this case.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant respectfully prays that the Clerk and the Court Reporter in this Court make and prepare as part of the record in the appeal in this cause true and correct copies of all matters stipulated above, and make them a part of the record in the appeal of this cause.

Respectfully submitted,

LEORA KAHN

TBC #11073100

Eleven Greenway Plaza Suite 3112

Houston, Texas 77046 (713) 222-1353

FAX: 961-5954

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

121

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing motion was mailed and/or hand delivered to the office of the Harris County District Attorney, 201 Fannin, Suite 200, Houston, Texas 77002, on this the 7th day of October, 1997.

LEORA KAHN

CAUSE NO. 750,313

THE STATE OF TEXAS 5 IN THE 263RD JUDICIAL S DISTRICT COURT OF S HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

MOTION TO PRESERVE AND FILE JUROR QUESTIONNAIRES, INCLUDING THAT OF JUROR, IRENE COLLINS WITH THE PAPERS OF THE COURT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW REINALDO DENNES, Defendant herein, by and through his attorney, LEORA TEICHER KAHN, and pursuant to U. S. Const. amends. V, VI, and XIV, and Tex. Const., Arts. 1 §§ 3, 3a, 10, 15, and 19, and moves this Court to order Charles Bacarisse, the District Clerk of Harris County, Texas and his agents and employees to preserve the juror questionnaires of the persons who were sworn and served as jurors in the trial of Reinaldo Dennes, Defendant, in the above-styled and numbered Cause, including, specifically, the juror questionnaire of juror, Irene Collins. Further, Defendant respectfully moves the Court to order Charles Bacarisse, the District Clerk of Harris County, Texas, to file the juror questionnaires, specifically the questionnaire of juror Irene Collins with the papers of the Court. In support thereof, he would show the Court:

I.

Defendant, Reinaldo Dennes was found guilty of the offense of capital murder in the above-styled and numbered Cause. On September 4, 1997, Defendant was sentenced in open court to death by lethal injection.

RECORUM.
This instrument are quality and not satisfactory for prolographic recordation, and/or alterations were present at the time of filming.

Defendant has filed a Motion for New Trial. At issue is the violation of Defendant's rights to effectively exercise peremptory challenges by the material misrepresentation of juror. Irene Collins, regarding her criminal history (accusations and/or convictions), as evidenced by her statements and responses, under oath and in writing contained within her juror questionnaire, provided to the attorneys and to the Court for purposes of voir dire in this case.

III.

The juror questionnaires are in the custody and control of the District Clerk's office, Harris County, Texas and are essential to the proper representation of the Defendant.

IV.

Defendant would be deprived of Due Process and the opportunity to present evidence in a hearing on A Motion for New Trial in this case, absent this Order from the Court.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that this Court grant his motion in its entirety and Order the District Clerk of Harris County, Texas, Charles Bacarisse, his agents and employees, to preserve the juror questionnaires of the jurors, specifically and including, Irene Collins, who served in the above-styled and numbered Cause.

DBFENDANT PRAYS FURTHER, that this Court Order the District Clerk of Harris County, Texas, Charles Bacarisse, his agents and employees, to include the juror questionnaires of the jurors, specifically and including, Irene Collins, who served in the above-styled and numbered Cause with the papers of the Court in this matter and for the Court of Criminal Appeals.

FILED

OCT 28 1997

Time: 11:30 LM Time is Copaty, Texas

_, 1997.

West.

Respectfully Submitted,

Leora Teicher Kahn T.B.C. No. 11073100 11 Greenway Plaza Suite 3112

Houston, Texas 77046 (713) 222-1353 FAX: (713) 961-5954

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the attached and foregoing document has been served on the Harris County District Attorney's Office by hand delivering a copy to the assistant district attorney handling the case on this 27 day of

Leora Teicher Kahn

787 197

21013-98490

CAUSE NO. 750,313

THE STATE OF TEXAS

5
IN THE 263RD JUDICIAL

5
DISTRICT COURT OF

8
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ORDER

CAME TO BE HEARD Defendant's Motion to Preserve and File Juror Questionnaires, Including that of Juror, Irene Collins with the Papers of the Court. The motion is in all things GRANTED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Charles Bacarisse, the District Clerk of Harris County, Texas, his deputies and/or employees [hereinafter referred to collectively as the District Clerk's Office] to preserve the juror questionnaires of the jurors who served in the above-styled and numbered Cause, specifically and including, Juror, Irene Collins.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the District Clerk's Office include the juror questionnaires of the jurors who served in the abovestyled and numbered Cause, specifically and including, Juror, Irene Collins, with the papers of the Court in this matter and include them in the transcript to be filed with the Court of Criminal Appeals.

SIGNED AND ENTERED THIS _ 28 DAY OF ______ OT___, 1997.

JUDGE PRESIDING

Court Coordinator No. 2-A			1_
CAUSE NO. 750	3/3_ CHARGE		
THE STATE OF TEXAS VS. Remelle Der	OF HARRIS COUNTY,		
Defendant	AGREED SETTING		
The undersigned Counsel hereby agrees this co	ASE IN FOSSET TOP	13 - 57	
Attorney for the State		Custodan Col	Def
		All Allerton for the Detendant	R
	How How	Control Address (State)	3/12
	5	1/043/00	3
APPROVED BY THE COURT	¥	(Bar Number)	
Judge Presiding Date	Tim	NOV 0 6 1997)
	By_	Hanis Count Toxus Deputy	000233

1014-968 mg

CAUSE NO. 750313

THE STATE OF TEXAS

\$ IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR

\$ THE 263RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

\$ HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

JOHN B. HOLMES, JR.'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM AND REQUEST FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW JOHN B. HOLMES, JR., HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY (hereinafter "district attorney"), by and through the undersigned assistant district attorney and moves the Court for an order quashing the subpoena duces tecum served upon him and for a protective order. The district attorney would respectfully show the Court the following:

Factual Background

On November 11, 1997, Harris County District Attorney John B. Holmes, Jr. was served with a subpoena duces tecum. See Exhibit A, which is attached and incorporated herein. The subpoena commanded Mr. Holmes or the Custodian of Records for the Harris County District Attorney's Office to appear in the 263rd District Court on November 13, 1997 and to produce the following documents:

All files, papers, documents, and contracts in possession of [the] Harris County District Attorney's Office regarding David Balderas' cooperation with agents/agencies of the State of Texas and/or Harris County District Attorney's Office in the investigation and prosecution of Special Crimes, including but not limited to contracts between David Balderas and [the] Harris County District Attorney's Office.

II. Materials in Controversy

The district attorney specifically objects to the production of the documents requested because one of the documents is protected by the work product privilege of the State and the other document should remain confidential because of the nature of the document itself. The only documents responsive to the defendant's subpoena duces tecum are:

- A two-page letter from the Houston Police Department to the Harris County District Attorney's Office; and
- A three-page contract.

Accordingly, since the documents are privileged and confidential, the Court should quash the subpoena duces tecum and enter a protective order.

III. Work Product Privilege — Police Correspondence With Prosecutors

Police investigative reports, including correspondence with prosecutors regarding police investigations, have been found to constitute the privileged work product of the State of Texas, exempt from disclosure in either criminal or civil cases. *See Anderson v. Higdon*, 695 S.W.2d 320 (Tex. App.-Waco 1985, writ ref'd n.r.e.) (sheriff's investigative report found to constitute privileged work product under Tex. R. Civ. P. 166b); *Brem v. State*, 571 S.W.2d 314 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978) (holding that police offense reports and "prosecution files and papers" constitute privileged "work product" of the State and are not subject to discovery).

Also, the Texas Supreme Court held in *Hobson v. Moore*, 734 S.W.2d 340 (Tex. 1987), that internal law enforcement reports were subject to a "law enforcement privilege" from discovery in

related civil litigation; and it recently confirmed that the contents of prosecutors' files are subject to work product privilege. State ex rel. Curry v. Walker, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994). Since police investigative reports and similar materials have been characterized as "work product" of counsel for the State, they remain privileged from disclosure under Owens-Corning Fiberglas v. Caldwell, 818 S.W.2d 749 (Tex. 1991), after conclusion of the case for which they were created.1

Because the document listed in item (1) in paragraph II of this motion is the work product of the State's investigators prepared in anticipation of criminal litigation, it is privileged from discovery in this proceeding. Further, since the document in item (2) is a contract, by its very nature, it should remain confidential and production should be denied and the subpoena duces tecum should be quashed.

IV. In Camera Review

The State has no objection to in camera review of the materials withheld from disclosure, and will promptly deliver sealed copies of those materials to the Court should the Court find that such

The district attorney recognizes that the *Curry* court reserved the issue of whether the work product doctrine applies to a prosecutor's file for a case no longer pending. *Id.* at 380, n.1. That issue must inevitably be resolved in favor of continued recognition of the work product privilege for the contents of so-called "closed" files, however, since the Supreme Court has already determined that the work product privilege for litigation materials survives the disposition of the case for which the work product was prepared, and is perpetual in duration. *Owens-Coming Fiberglas v. Caldwell*, 818 S.W.2d 749 (Tex. 1991).

The same considerations compelling recognition of a perpetual work product privilege for materials in the possession of a private attorney apply to materials in the possession of an attorney for a governmental entity. The United States Supreme Court has observed that the role of the work product doctrine "in assuring the proper functioning of the criminal justice system is even more vital" than its more common application in civil litigation. See Curry, 873 S.W.2d at 380 (quoting United States v. Nobles, 422 U.S. 225, 95 S.Ct. 2160 (1975)).

review is necessary to its determination of whether said materials are privileged and exempt from disclosure and confidential by the very nature of the document.

Should the Court find, upon in camera review, that any undisclosed materials are subject to disclosure, the State requests that they be returned to the Harris County District Attorney prior to release to the defendant, to permit the State an opportunity to seek appellate review of any such determination.

Certificate of Service

Service has been accomplished by hand delivering a true and correct copy of this instrument to counsel for the defendant on the date of filing with the clerk of this Court.

THEREFORE, the State respectfully requests that the Court quash the subpoena duces tecum seeking disclosure of the of the above-described materials and find that said materials are privileged from disclosure and confidential and enter a protective order.

Respectfully submitted,

L E Ī CHARLES DACARISSI District Clerk

NOV 1 3 1997

Biarris Co

By LUSTY JON M. VOLLMAN

Assistant District Attorney 201 Fannin

Houston, Texas 77002

(713) 755-5826 FAX (713) 755-5826

State Bar of Texas No. 20609500

DISTRICT A TROBUS OF FICE
NOV 1 2 1997

APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT OF DEFENDANT

	70	APPLIC	CATION AND APPIDAVE	I OF DEFENDANCE		
	100	21.32				
mo 4	he Clerk of	1	ISTRICT COURT		of Harris C	county, Texas
-	457.1		INAL COURT AT LAW N		1	
Come	es now the Def	endant and make	es application for	Lesuance of subp	oena for the	herein below
			PANN.	DI DENN	50	
IN :	THE CASE OF T	E STATE OF TE	XAS VB. /////	00 0000	717 212	T
	## ·	2		, No.	10,010	charged with
	1	The second second		*	150	
_	A 4 101.	-		200	3.1	
von	WILL MARRES	saue A) ou	bpoena S	in ac	cordance with
law	for the foll	ague named wi	tness.	residing in the	State of Te	exas, as below
set	out:	7 *	OK. A.	.0-02.0) 0	C P.T.	220 7
1	FOHN D.	HOLMES, JA	C. C.	WOUNTY CI	- Jacon	177
	and whose 29	ation is	BUSTRACT HTT	/County:	HORAL	W/Y
	4110	1/2	TOVA		minicia	
2.	whose vocation	n in that of	DANNI (CXII)			
	and whose loc			County		
3.	AL ONIT	BRING	1217H VOTI &	MI GUES	PATES	AND
3.	whose votation		WITTI THE	HILL TIPES	1	
	and whose loc			County:	1	·/ ·
4.		DUC	440V1S	IN KOSKETS	con OF ,	HARRES .
	whose vocation	on is that of		. County:		
	and whose 100	100 satest	No. 1. 1. 1.	AD w	alle a-	
5.	- 1	Courry	BUTKICT H	MAINEYS	OPPICE	
	whose vocation			Countys		
	000	22:20 >	1-12 2012		0-01=	11/17/1
6.	Whose vocati	on is that of	AVID BALDE	KAS COO	KERAZION	WITH
	and whose los			County:_		
7.	A-1.0	Vrs /AGE	VCIES OF THE	- CTATE O	F TOXAL	in 714
1.	whose vocation	on is that of	ICICS III IN	5 Allic V	14/8/3	111/16
	and whose lo	eation, is	2	County:_		
8.	11/1/5	GAZION AN	D ROSECUTION	VOF SE	PAL. CR	MEP
		on is that of				
	and whose lo			County	- 1	11 000
9.	AND AL		CATION AND C	ONTRACTS ()	TWAN	THE SAITE
	whose vocation	on is that of		County	1400	2
	Nr 1-110	.7	22 100 1/2/20	YRICT ATTON	311 06-11-	- Anti DAVID
10.		on is that of	is country or	VIRICI MINOVO	y NOTE	THE GOORS
	and whose lo	cation is		Countys		
Tho	tost Impart of	and witness i	In hallowid	to be metauled	to the defen	on on the tule!
cas	e. Returnable	on the 13/	day of NOV	, A.	D. 1997 at	9 o'clock a.m.
1.	TRA KAN	1, ATTORNEY	AT LAW		7	•
1	Marchille	1 1 2 10	711 2100		•	
11	AKEENWAY	#3112			¥.	4
la	DON, TX	. 17046	802 · fax: 713:96	1-1917		
,		7/3: 9.61 29				
SWC	rn to and sub	scribed to ber	ore me this	day of		A.D. 19
	\$	100.62				
	1,81				unty, Texas	istrict Clerk
	34			narrin co	uncy, reads	
	100			Dee	14(1)	•
				Ву	Deputy	
0	-a. 8 8	M			10 m	
NO	ARY, Commissi	on Expires::				
	,				. *	(4)

JEAN E. LARSON
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
April 11, 2001

			ONO 00 30 W 1.D A
	BUBPOENA DNCE	16CUM REC	ETVED
CAUSE NO	. 150,313	. NOV	1 2 1997
THE COURSE OF THEY A	IN THE	63 RD DISTRICT	COURT
THE STATE OF TEXAS	~	MINAL COURT AT LA	
REWALDO DENVIES		COUNTY, TEXAS	. no
A ENGODO DENGE	or markets	COUNTY, IEARS	
TO ANY PEACE OFFICER OF HARRIS COUNTY (24.01(b) C.C.P GREETINGS:	OR OTHER PERSON AUTE	ORIZED IN ACCORD	ANCE WITE ART.
Name Of Person Other Than A Peace Officer	To Execute Summons:	*	· · ·
YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON	FOHN B. HOLYE	S. JR. OR	CUSTODIAN
OF RECORDS, HAR	ERICHUNTY S	SISTRICT ITT	ACNOY S
ma, 301 1	THNNIN - THOU	NON, 7X	77002
* AND BRING WITH YOU A	U FILES PAR	ES, DOCUM	lows.
AND CONTRACTS PORTUGISTA	IN POSSESSI	ON OF HARRI	P COUNTY
DISTRICT ATTOCHEN'S OFFICE	PEGACINAG	MAILD BAD	ACA!
CONFECATION WITH AGO	Mrs/AGONCUES	OF THE STA	TO OF TON
And for HARCIA CATINEY	Diffice ATTOR	nev's name	11 74-
Sall- Pricate) Add Financia	The DE STITE	2 CCIVE	Marina
PUT Aby LINITED TO COLON	MARCHARD PORCH	DOLD ROLD	201 - 1/00
if to be found in your county, to be and	appear before the ab	ONID /OHU)+	urt in and for
- 1 1 12 22	t 8:45 a.m., to give		
and Defendant in the above styled cause,			
to term until discharged by the Court.	The supplier of the street of the supplier of the	-	
WITNESS my official signature on	NOU . 18 1997	-	
X(1,0)(/0/)		to the	
July Land		ARISSE, District	Clerk
STATE LEXCA- KANH, ATTORNEY	HTLAW BY	ej/ Idad	, Deputy
11GREENWAY #3/12	712. 01. 1224		, Deputy
HONDTON, 1x 17046	713: 961-J902 FAX: 713:961	-5858	
	ERIFF'S RETURN	,	
Came to hand on the day of	of	19 6	nd executed by
summoning the within named witness	in person, in th	e County of Harri	s, at the dates
as herein stated, viz:	*	1	
	1 1 1	Fee For Fee Fe	or I
Date of Service Name	Miles Direction	Service Milea	Total Fee
	-!	TOTAL FEE	
and not executed as to witness			
the diligence used in finding said with			
and who after due search and diligent i	nquiry, cannot be fo	ound in Harris Co	unty, Texas.
A A 4		1	
Sheriff of Harris County Toyen		1	•
Sheriff of Harris County, Texas By, Deputy	, 1	1	

CRM-51 R012-13-95

CAUSE NO. 750313

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR

V. § THE 263RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

REINALDO DENNES § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ORDER

The Court considered John B. Holmes, Jr.'s Motion to Quash the Subpoena Duces Tecum and Request for Protective Order, the response, if any, any evidence presented and the applicable law and is of the opinion that the motion is meritorious and should be GRANTED. It is therefore

ORDERED that the subpoena duces tecum served upon John B. Holmes, Jr. Is QUASHED and a protective order issued so that no disclosure of the items described in the district attorney's motion shall be produced.

JUDGE PRESIDING 263rd District Court

SUPPLEMENTAL

CLERK'S RECORD

VOLUME 1 of 1

Trial Court Cause No. 750313

In the County Criminal Court at Law #

of Harris County, Texas

In the 263rd District Court of Harris County, Texas

Honorable JIM WALLACE, Judge Presiding

REINALDO DENNES, APPELLANT

VS

THE STATE OF TEXAS

Appealed to the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas, at Austin, Texas

Attorney for Appellant(s)

LEORA KAHN

ATTORNEY OF RECORD

11 GREENWAY PLAZA, SUITE 3010

HOUSTON, TEXAS 77046

Telephone No: (713) 222-1353

SBOT No: 61073100

Delivered to the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas, at Austin, Texas on the and day of January, 1999.

CHARLES BACARISSE, District Clerk Harris County, Texas

(1)

Medellin, Deputy

Case 4:14-cv-00019 Document 23-17 Filed on 09/18/15 in TXSD Page 17 of 23

INDEX

	DATE FILED	PAGE
REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL	11/24/98	4
APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA	11/12/98	3
REQUEST FOR NOTICE OF INTENT TO OFFER EXTRANEOUS CONDUCT AT THE GUILT/INNOCENCE PHASE	12/4/96	1
AFFIDAVIT	1/29/99	5
CERTIFICATE OF THE CLEKR		6

MOW-991 2/

IN THE 263RD DISTRICT COURT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

THE STATE OF TEXAS

v.

REINALDO DENNES

CAUSE NUMBER 716325 750313

REQUEST FOR NOTICE OF INTENT TO OFFER EXTRANEOUS CONDUCT AT THE GUILT/INNOCENCE PHASE

TO: Chuck Rosenthal, assistant district attorney:

Pursuant to Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence 404(b) and 609(f), defendant requests written notice of the State's intent to offer evidence of extraneous offenses or acts of misconduct of the defendant at the guilt/innocence phase of the trial. This includes prior convictions, deferred adjudications, pending charges and uncharged conduct.

Defendant further requests that the State provide the name, address and telephone number of all persons who will testify regarding these matters, and copies of all documents evidencing any convictions, deferred adjudications, or pending charges and all documents relevant to other crimes, wrongs, or acts under Rule 404(b).

Defendant requests a written response at least 30 days before the commencement of trial to enable counsel to investigate and prepare for trial. Sincerely,

Wendell A. Odom, Jr. State Bar No. 15208500

1301 McKinney, Suite 3100 Houston, Texas 77010 (713) 951-9555 (713) 951-9854 (facsimile)

Attorney for Defendant, Reinaldo Dennes

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of this request was served on the attorney for the State on ______, 1996.

Wendell A. Odom, Jr.

11 :+ 114 1-VX-

NOV 1 2 1997

APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT OF DEFENDANT

To the Clerk of COUNTY CRIMINAL COURT AT LAW NO
Comes now the Defendant and makes application for issuance of subpoena for the herein belonamed person. IN THE CASE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. ATMADO DEWAS, No. 20,313, charged with you will please assue subpoena subpoe
named person. IN THE CASE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS VS. RENAMED DEWNS , No. 20 3/3 , charged with you will please lissue subpoens in accordance with residing in the State of Texas, as belowed out: 1. For County: 1. For County: 2. whose vocation is that of and whose location is that of an and whose location is that of an analysis and whose location is an analysis
you will please issue
you will please issue subpoens subpoens in accordance with law, for the following named witness residing in the State of Texas, as belowed the following named witness. 1. John Charles Custon of Revenue County: whose vocation is that of printer ATTORNEY County: whose vocation is that of and whose location is county: whose vocation is that of and whose location is county:
1. For the following named witness. 1. For British Customer Records 1. For British County: 1. For British County: 1. For British Customer Records 1. For British County: 1. For British County: 1. For British Customer Records 1. For British County: 1. For British Customer Records 1. For British County: 1. For British Customer Records 1. For British County: 1. For British Customer Records 1. For British Cu
1. For Custom is that of Sitted of Archer Harry County: whose vocation is that of Sitted of Archer Harry County: whose vocation is that of Sitted of Archer Harry County: whose vocation is that of and whose location is whose vocation is that of and whose location is county: County: Count
1. For County: 1. For County: 1. Whose vocation is that of DATE OF COUNTY: 1. Whose vocation is that of DATE OF COUNTY: 1. Whose vocation is that of DATE OF COUNTY: 2. Whose vocation is that of and whose location is 3. A AND COUNTY: Whose vocation is that of and whose location is that of the location is the locati
1. Folk B. Harris R. Custor of Records whose vocation is that of British Arrowald County: whose vocation is that of Arrowald County: whose vocation is that of and whose location is whose vocation is that of and whose location is
whose vocation is that of bilter ATTORNET HARRY COUNTY! 2. whose vocation is that of and whose location is that of and whose location is that of and whose vocation is that of and whose vocation is that of and whose vocation is that of and whose location is that of and whose location is that of and whose location is county:
whose vocation is that of and whose location is that of whose vocation is that of and whose vocation is that of whose vocation is that of and whose vocation is that of and whose location is
whose vocation is that of and whose location is whose vocation is that of whose vocation is that of and whose location is county: County: County:
3. # AND BRING WITH YOU & ALL GUES PARERS AND and whose location is County:
whose votation is that of and whose location is County:
1014 11 - 100 11 11 11 100 -00 -
whose vocation is that of Whose vocation is that of
and whose location is
whose vocation is that of
and whose location is County:
6. RETURDING DAYLD BALDERAS' CONFERATION WITH
whose vocation is that of and whose location is County:
7. AGENTS /AGENCIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS IN THE
whose vocation is that of and whose location is County:
8. INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF SECON CRIME
whose vocation is that of
and whose location is County:
9. AND ALL CONSIDERATION AND CONTRACTS BY WENT THE STATE WHOSE VOCATION IS that of
and whose location is County:
whose vocation is that of
and whose location isCounty:
The testimony of said witness is believed to be material to the defense on the tria case. Returnable on the day of wow , A.D. 1997 at 9 o'clock a.m
case. Returnable on the 13 day of NOV , A.D. 1997 at 9 o'clock a.m
11 GREENWAY #3112 -
1 4 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1
713: 961-5902 114: 113.761 3/34
Sworn to and subscribed to before me this day of, A.D. 19
CUADING BACARTEEN DISTANCE OF THE PARTY OF T
CHARLES BACARISSE, District Clerk Harris County, Texas
By
Star Exersor
NOTARY, Commission Expires:

JEAN E. LARSON
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
April 11, 2001

LEORA KAHN Attorney at Law 11 Greenway Plaza #3010 Houston, Tx 77046 713/222-1353

November 20, 1998

Harris County District Clerk Criminal Appellate Section 301 San Jacinto Houston, Texas 77002

Re: <u>State v. Reinaldo Dennes</u>, Cause No. 750313, 263rd Judicial District Court, Cause No. 72966, Court of Criminal Appeals

Dear Sirs,

I am requesting that you prepare a supplemental record with the following instruments filed in the trial court under this cause number:

- (1) Defendant's motion for continuance, filed 8-18-97;
- (2) Defendant's amended motion for new trial, filed 10-6-97;
- (3) Defendant's request for notice of extraneous offenses to be used by the State during the punishment phase of trial, filed 12-4-96.
- (4) Defendant's application for subpoena duces tecum, directed to John B. Holmes or custodian of records, issued by attorney Leora Kahn, filed 11-12-97.

Please prepare a supplement clerk's record, consisting of this letter and the above requested instruments, and transmit to the Court of Criminal Appeals as soon as possible.

FILED CHARLES BACARISSE District Clerk

Sincerely,

NOV 2 4 1998

Leora Kahn

cc: Harris County District Attorney's Office State's Prosecuting Attorney

CAUSE NO. 9420592-D

STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE 263 rd DISTRICT COURT
VS.	§	
Reinaldo Dennes	§	OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

AFFIDAVIT

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority personally appeared <u>Donna Valis</u>, who, being duly sworn deposed as follows.

My name is Donna Valis. I am a Deputy District Clerk assigned as supervisor of the Post Trial Section of the Criminal Bureau.

In the case of the State of Texas versus Reinaldo Dennes, cause number #750313. I was notified that the Motion for Continuance and the Amended Motion for New Trial were missing from the casefile.

The Motion for Continuance was entered on August 18, 1997. The Amended Motion for New Trial was entered on October 06, 1997. I have made a diligent effort in searching the file, other departments, the courtroom, closed files and the micrographic department in order to locate these documents.

These two documents (Motion for Continuance and the Amended Motion for New Trial) have inadvertently been misplaced.

Donna Valis, Post Trial Supervisor

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me on the $\frac{29}{9}$ day of $\frac{1}{9}$

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk

Ron Luster, Manager Post Trial Criminal Bureau

JAN 2 9 1999

Harris County, Texas

By Deputy

SUPPLEMENTAL

CERTIFICATE OF THE CLERK

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 263RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF HARRIS

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ydia fdellin Medellin Depyty

I, CHARLES BACARISSE, District Clerk of Harris County, Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing proceedings, instruments and other papers contained in Volume 1 Pages 1- inclusive, to which this certification is attached and made a part thereof, are true and correct copies of all proceedings, instruments and other papers specified by Rule 51 (a) and matter designated by the parties pursuant to Rule 51 (b) in Cause No. 750313, styled The State of Texas vs. REINALDO DENNES in said court.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court, at office in Harris County, Texas on January 39. 1999.

CHARLES BACARISSE, Harris County District Clerk